

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
ADDENDUM NO.4 to RFP NO. 309-12-015

Reference is made to the Request for Proposal to Service Providers for I-80 Carlin Tunnels, upon which proposals will be received until **3:00 p.m., local time, on September 27, 2012.**

Please make the following pen and ink change to the RFP:

Page 20: Section 4.1, D, 3

Replace:

3. Be double spaced and have one (1)-inch margins.

With:

3. Be 1.5 lines spaced and have one (1)-inch margins. Tables, charts, and graphs may be single spaced.

AND:

Following are questions received and the NDOT responses regarding the above referenced RFP:

QUESTION #1: Since resumes will be placed in the Appendix, are they exempt from the double space format required for the 25 page Proposal Evaluation Factors?

ANSWER #1: Since resumes do not count towards the 25 page limit as outlined in Section 4.1.D, resumes may be formatted as the proposer chooses.

QUESTION #2: 4.3.A.ii. The requirements of this experience section previously were addressed on a form provided by NDOT that was single spaced. We are including a similar form from the I-80 Robb Qualifications. To answer the detailed information in the double space format will require two pages at a minimum, resulting in proposers reducing the number of projects submitted. Is there a chance that we could use a single space NDOT form?

ANSWER #2: Refer to the pen and ink change above in this Addendum #4. Tables, charts, and graphs may be single spaced.

QUESTION #3: Dividers – we understand NDOT’s request not to use tabbed dividers, but want to make it easy for NDOT and its team to find the many sections easily. Would you prefer we use plain paper for the title pages to each chapter or would you prefer cardstock? Similarly, there are a number of items required in the Appendix; specifically 5 resumes, one preconstruction risk register, one construction risk register, and the schedule. Do you want dividers separating these items?

ANSWER #3: Per the RFP in Section 4.1, C, ii, labeled tabs are NOT to be included in the response to the evaluation factors (25-page limit) section of the Proposal. Section 4.1, C, 4 states, “additional information, if provided, shall appear at the end of the submittal under its own tab(s).” Therefore tabs may be used in areas of the proposal outside of the response to the evaluation factors section.

QUESTION #4: Under Past Project Innovation, where we typically talk about some of the most relevant innovations from past project, there is a reference to Section 4.3.A.ii, asking that we include the information described there. Again, that would take significant page count, and in some cases be redundant, since the information required in 4.3.A.ii already asks for innovations.

ANSWER #4: Section 4.3, A, ii asks for a list of innovations that were implemented on each project, not a detailed description. Section 4.3, C asks for a description, details, and beneficial impacts of the innovations that were listed in Section 4.3, A, ii.

RUDY MALFABON, P.E., DIRECTOR
Nevada Department of Transportation
1263 South Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712